

The Effect of Fractional CO₂ Laser Treatment on the Symptoms of Pelvic Floor Dysfunctions: Pelvic Floor Distress Inventory-20 Questionnaire

Attila Gergely Sipos, MD,^{1*} Bence Kozma, MD,¹ Robert Poka, MD,¹ Kindra Larson, MD,² and Peter Takacs, MD²

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Debrecen Faculty of Medicine, 98 Nagyerdei krt, 4032, Debrecen, Hungary

²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Female Pelvic Medicine and Reconstructive Surgery, Eastern Virginia Medical School, 825 Fairfax Avenue, Suite 526, Norfolk, Virginia, 23507-2007

Background and Objectives: To assess the improvement on pelvic floor distress (PFD)-related urogenital symptoms using validated questionnaires after intravaginal CO₂ laser treatment.

Study Design/Materials and Methods: Forty postmenopausal women with genitourinary symptoms of menopause (GSM) were enrolled into this prospective cohort study and underwent vaginal laser treatment using MonaLisa Touch[®] fractional CO₂ laser system. Patients received three vaginal laser treatments with 360° probe 4 weeks apart. A three-component Pelvic Floor Distress Inventory (PFDI-20) validated questionnaire was filled out by each patient before each session and 4 weeks after the final treatment. Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to compare the before and after treatment scores.

Results: Pelvic Organ Prolapse Distress Inventory (POPDI-6) scores were not significantly different after the first treatment compared with baseline (mean ± standard deviation [SD], 21 ± 18 vs. 17 ± 15, $P = 0.44$). However, each subsequent treatment resulted in further, statistically significant improvement in symptom scores (14 ± 15, $P = 0.03$ and 13 ± 13, $P = 0.01$, after the second and third treatments, respectively). Similarly, Urinary Distress Inventory (UDI-6) scores were not significantly different after the first laser treatment (mean ± SD, 36 ± 25 vs. 29 ± 23, $P = 0.36$). After the second and third treatments there were significant improvement in the standardized scores (24 ± 20, $P = 0.03$ and 22 ± 21, $P = 0.01$). Colorectal-Anal Distress Inventory (CRADI-8) scores did not change significantly after three laser treatments.

Conclusions: Three sessions of microablative fractional CO₂ vaginal laser treatment significantly improves patient reported urinary and pelvic organ prolapse symptoms. © 2019 The Authors. *Lasers in Surgery and Medicine* Published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

Key words: CO₂ laser; vagina; pelvic floor dysfunctions; fractional laser

INTRODUCTION

Genitourinary syndrome of menopause (GSM) is the new term for vulvovaginal atrophy (VVA) according to the International Society for the Study of Women's Sexual Health and the North American Menopause Society [1]. These genitourinary changes are a response to the decreased level of circulating estrogen caused by ageing. The hypoestrogenic environment leads to significant tissue changes such as loss of collagen, elastin, and smooth muscle in the genital/vaginal tissues resulting in thinning of the vaginal epithelium, diminished blood flow, elasticity, and rugation [2]. Morphological changes lead to atrophic genitalias causing irritation, itching, burning, dyspareunia, and contact bleeding [3]. The syndrome altogether with its bothersome symptoms affects 40–54% of postmenopausal women and negatively influences their everyday lives [4].

There are several treatment options for GSM, which depend upon symptom severity. In cases of mild symptoms or when hormone therapy is contraindicated, nonhormonal over-the-counter (OTC) products like lubricants and moisturizers are useful. If nonhormonal therapy does not provide satisfactory symptom relief and the women have no contraindications, locally applied estrogen in cream, tablet, or a ring delivery system may be offered [5].

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: All authors have completed and submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest and have disclosed the following: AGS, BK, RP, and KL have no conflict of interest and PT is a paid consultant for Fempharma LLC.

*Correspondence to: Attila Gergely Sipos, MD, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Debrecen Faculty of Medicine, 98 Nagyerdei krt, 4032 Debrecen, Hungary. E-mail: sipos.attila.gergely@med.unideb.hu

Accepted 30 May 2019

Published online in Wiley Online Library

(wileyonlinelibrary.com).

DOI 10.1002/lsm.23126

Systemic hormone replacement therapy (HRT) is only offered to patients who need treatment for other perimenopausal symptoms besides GSM [6].

Many women are reluctant to use hormonal products and desire a more durable treatment than OTC products. Most recently vaginal laser treatment was introduced as a novel option for women with GSM. Many clinical trials have investigated its efficacy and described the beneficial effects of vaginal laser treatment, which include improvement in subjective symptoms of GSM, vaginal health and flora, sexual function and dyspareunia, and urinary incontinence [7–13]. Zerbinati et al. described microscopic ultrastructural changes of vaginal mucosa connective tissue after fractional CO₂ laser treatment. They were able to confirm the production of new extracellular matrix elements such as collagen, glycosaminoglycans, proteoglycans, and multi-adhesive glycoproteins [14]. Cruz et al. [15] investigated the effect of vaginal CO₂ laser treatment alone and also compared it in combination with topical estriol cream and placebo cream versus sham laser treatment and found that laser treatment is beneficial. Other studies suggest that laser therapy may be valuable as nonhormonal therapeutic modality when HRT is not recommended [16,17]. Although the Food and Drug Administration approved the CO₂ laser and YAG laser therapy for several dermatologic and plastic surgery indications, it has not been approved for GSM, but several previous studies have revealed a beneficial effect of vaginal microablative fractional laser on GSM.

Pelvic floor dysfunction is a global term used to describe conditions such as irritative lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) or dry form of overactive bladder (OAB), pelvic organ prolapse (POP), anal/fecal (AI/FI) or urinary incontinence (UI), and chronic pelvic pain. The pathogenesis and symptoms of these conditions are partially overlapped with GSM [18]. The prevalence of PFD increases by age especially after menopause as a consequence of reduced circulating estrogen. On the basis of epidemiological studies POP, UI, FI, and other PFD symptoms affect approximately 32–35% of perimenopausal and postmenopausal women [19,20], the same population which frequently complains about GSM. Prior studies have not evaluated the effects of laser treatment on pelvic floor dysfunctions. Our hypothesis was that women undergoing vaginal laser treatment will report improvement not just in GSM but also in pelvic floor dysfunctions. Our goal in this pilot study was to assess the effects of vaginal laser treatment on pelvic floor dysfunctions using validated and commonly used questionnaires.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

In this prospective cohort study, patients were enrolled at the outpatient urogynecology clinic of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Debrecen, Hungary between March 2017 and March 2018. Enrollment criteria included the presence of any of the following symptoms in a postmenopausal woman: GSM/VVA, mild stress, or urgency urinary incontinence (UI), feeling of

looseness of the vagina due to the lack of pelvic muscle tone, or symptoms of low grade pelvic organ prolapse (POP), defined as \leq Stage II prolapse according to the pelvic organ prolapse quantification system (POP-Q) [21]. To be considered postmenopausal, individuals had to have at least 12 consecutive months of amenorrhea without any other obvious reason or consistently elevated follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) blood levels of 30 mIU/ml or higher. Exclusion criteria included pregnancy, hormone therapy in the previous 2 years (local or systemic), vaginal infection at presentation, cytological atypia, dysmenorrhea, POP > Stage II, severe UI, severe fecal incontinence (FI) or any disease, which would interfere with the study protocol. Patients were also asked to suspend the vaginal use of any nonhormonal or other products at least six weeks prior to intravaginal laser treatment.

At the first visit general gynecological visit, a medical history was taken (age, body mass index, previous deliveries or operations, menstruation cycle, onset of menopause, hormonal therapy). Patients were asked to complete the Pelvic Floor Distress Inventory (PFDI-20) questionnaire, which has three components: Pelvic Organ Prolapse Distress Inventory 6 (POPDI-6), Colorectal-Anal Distress Inventory 8 (CRADI-8), and Urinary Distress Inventory (UDI-6). POPDI-6 assesses bother from prolapse, the CRADI-8 inquires about defecatory distress, and the UDI-6 assesses urinary bother. Women underwent three sessions of intravaginal microablative CO₂ laser therapy 4–6 weeks apart and filled out the PFDI-20 questionnaire at the following timepoints: “baseline” before the first treatment; after the first treatment (right before the second treatment); after the second treatment (right before the third treatment); and 6 weeks after the final, third treatment of CO₂-Laser system (SmartXi-de2V2LR, MonaLisa Touch[®]; DEKA, Florence, Italy). Demographic and pertinent clinical information was recorded prospectively and stored in a dedicated database.

Our study was approved by the Hungarian National Institutional Review Medical Research Council. All women signed a written informed consent prior to participating in our research. There were no withdrawals or discontinuation of treatment due to adverse events.

Questionnaires

Internationally standardized questionnaires intended to demonstrate subjective pelvic floor symptoms were examined. Out of the several questionnaires available, we have selected the short-form version of the PFDI-20. Previously this questionnaire was found valid, reliable, and responsive to clinically important changes [22,23].

The PFDI-20 has three scales: POPDI-6, CRADI-8, and UDI-6. To specify the severity of pelvic floor distress symptoms the patients find response options ranged from 0 (“no” references “no symptoms”), 1 (“not at all” references “symptoms are present but not bothered at all”) to 4 (“quite a bit” as in symptoms are present and quite bothersome). To calculate the score, the mean score of answered items within each component is multiplied by 25 to obtain the scale score (range 0–100). Summary

scores are calculated by summing the scale scores (range 0–300). Higher scores indicate more symptom distress [22,24].

Laser Therapy

For laser treatment microablative, fractional CO₂ laser system (SmartXide2V₂LR; Deka) was applied, with a specific 360° probe designed for intravaginal procedures. Laser beams were fractionally emitted in small points (DOT's) around the vaginal mucosa during treatment. To achieve the required effect, the laser was used in D-Pulse mode. Depth was set, laser power, dwell time and spacing were adjusted: SmartStak 1, 30 W power, 1,000 μs dwell time and 1,000 μm spacing [25].

Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was performed with SigmaStat/SPSS (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) software. To describe the clinical and demographic characteristics means and standard deviations were used for continuous variables. Wilcoxon rank sum test (also called Mann–Whitney *U* test) was used to compare the differences between the baseline scores and scores after subsequent treatments. Differences were considered significant when the *P* < 0.05. Data are presented as mean values (± standard deviation [SD]) if not otherwise specified.

RESULTS

Forty menopausal women with typical GSM symptoms were enrolled into the study. The mean age was 58 ± 10 years. Clinical and demographical characteristics are described in Table 1.

The summary scores of PFDI-20 displayed no significant improvement after the first treatment compared to baseline scores (mean ± SD score, 74 ± 47 at baseline vs. 57 ± 38 after the first treatment, *P* = 0.1). After the second and third treatment we detected significantly lower summary scores of 46 ± 38 (*P* < 0.01) and 44 ± 39 (*P* < 0.01) as it was at baseline (Table 2).

Evaluating each individual domain of this questionnaire the mean scores developed as follows:

- (1) POPDI-6 standardized scores showed no significant difference in prolapse symptoms after the first treatment (mean ± SD score, 21 ± 18 at baseline vs. 17 ± 15 after the first treatment, *P* = 0.44). But, after the second treatment there was a significant improvement in the standardized score to 14 ± 15 (*P* = 0.03). Similarly, further improvement was seen after the third treatment with a mean score of 13 ± 13 (*P* = 0.01) (Table 2).
- (2) UDI-6 standardized scores describe severity of the urinary distress symptoms. These were not significantly different after the first laser treatment (mean ± SD score, 36 ± 25 vs. 29 ± 23 after the first treatment, *P* = 0.36). However, after the second and third treatments study participants had significant improvement with scores of 24 ± 20 (*P* = 0.03) and 22 ± 21 (*P* = 0.01) (Table 2), respectively.

- (3) CRADI-8 standardized scores characterize the colorectal-anal complaints of the participants. These values did not change significantly after three laser treatments (mean ± SD score, 16 ± 16 vs. 12 ± 13 after the first treatment/11 ± 12 after the second treatment/10 ± 14 after the third treatment) (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

In our pilot study, we have demonstrated that vaginal CO₂ laser therapy improves urinary and prolapse symptoms in postmenopausal women with GSM, as measured by their responses on the PFDI-20.

GSM has a significant adverse impact on the integrity and function of the pelvic floor. The decreased level of circulating estrogen causes microstructural changes in the vaginal and pelvic floor tissues. These changes lead to the development of bothersome GSM symptoms such as itching, burning, dyspareunia, or urinary distress that negatively affect quality of life (QoL) [3]. In the last few years, intravaginal CO₂ laser was introduced as a new nonhormonal therapeutic modality for GSM.

We focused on the symptoms of pelvic floor dysfunction in postmenopausal women and attempted to find the best possible combination of questionnaires available to evaluate the results before, during, and after three sessions of intravaginal microablative, fractional CO₂ laser treatment. In our opinion the validated PFDI-20 questionnaire with its subquestionnaires covers broad symptoms of PFD by including lower urinary tract and urinary incontinence symptoms, symptoms related to prolapse, and colorectal-anal dysfunction or fecal incontinence.

Standardized questionnaires have been used in several prior studies. Our study both confirms and adds to the existing literature. Salvatore et al. treated 50 women with intravaginal CO₂ laser and utilized the Sort Form Health Survey (SF-12) to assess response. SF-12 is a general QoL questionnaire and it is not specific for pelvic floor complaints. Another study assessed sexual function with the FSFI questionnaire, which reflects sexual complaints related to PFD more accurately [7,8]. In a study from 2016, Sokol et al. [26] analyzed PFD symptoms based on Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) and SF-12 questionnaires in 30 women after intravaginal CO₂ laser therapy and reported significant improvement on sexual function. Pitsouni et al. applied also the FSFI questionnaire to evaluate sexual function related to this treatment. Overall 20–60% of the patients experienced improvement in their sexual life, and specifically reported an increased number

TABLE 1. Clinical and Demographic Characteristics

Variables	Mean ± standard deviation
Number of women, <i>N</i>	40
Age (years)	58 ± 10
Gravida	2 ± 1
Para	2 ± 1
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	26 ± 5

TABLE 2. The Standardized Scores of PFDI-20, POPDI-6, CRADI-8, and UDI-6 Questionnaire Before and After First Treatment, After Second Treatment, and 6 Weeks After the Third Treatment of CO₂ Vaginal Rejuvenation Laser

	CO ₂ laser treatment				P value		
	Baseline	After first	After second	After third	Baseline vs. first	Baseline vs. second	Baseline vs. third
PFDI-20 (mean±SD)	74 ± 47	57 ± 38	46 ± 38	44 ± 39	0.10	<0.01*	<0.01*
POPDI-6 (mean ± SD)	21 ± 18	17 ± 15	14 ± 15	13 ± 13	0.44	0.03*	0.01*
CRADI-8 (mean ± SD)	16 ± 16	12 ± 13	11 ± 12	10 ± 14	0.17	0.22	0.06
UDI-6 (mean ± SD)	36 ± 25	29 ± 23	24 ± 20	22 ± 21	0.36	0.03*	0.01*

CRADI-8, Colorectal-Anal Distress Inventory; PFDI-20, Pelvic Floor Distress Inventory; POPDI-6, Pelvic Organ Prolapse Distress Inventory; UDI-6, Urinary Distress Inventory.

*Indicate statistically significant data ($P < 0.05$).

of sexual encounters. LUTS were also measured using International Consultation On Incontinence Questionnaire-Female Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms and Urinary Incontinence (ICIQ-FLUTS and ICIQ-UI), UDI-6 and King’s Health Questionnaire (KHQ). The authors found significant reduction of questionnaire scores in almost every domain, reflecting the improvement of urinary symptoms. This study confirms existing findings that UDI-6 is a reliable tool to evaluate LUTS and their impact on quality of life after CO₂ laser treatment [27]. Behnia-Willison et al. investigated the efficacy of vaginal CO₂ laser in Australian postmenopausal women. To evaluate favorable effect of the treatment a validated interviewer-administered pelvic floor questionnaire, the Australian Pelvic Floor Questionnaire was completed by patients. This questionnaire assesses the severity of bladder, bowel, and sexual function bothersomeness and also condition-specific quality of life. However, it measures sexual function directly and self-administration seems to even be more useful during everyday practice [28]. Gambacciani and Gonzalez et al. chose ICIQ-SF questionnaire for urinary symptoms after laser treatment. Both studies described improved quality of life as a result of treatment, although Gambacciani et al. used a different, erbium laser for the treatment [13,29].

Using the PFDI-20, we confirmed and expanded existing findings on the impact of laser therapy on pelvic floor dysfunction. Consistent with other studies, we found improvement in urinary symptoms. After two laser sessions, the urinary distress (UDI-6) domain of the questionnaire improved significantly. We also found a significant improvement in the prolapse related answers (POPDI-6) after more than one laser treatment. CRADI-8 colorectal scores improved after every laser session, even though the results were not statistically significant.

Jaeschke et al. [30] published the concept of the minimal important change (MIC), which helps to determine if statistically significant changes are also clinically important. According to this a change should be greater than MIC to consider clinically relevant.

Barber et al. and Wiegersma et al. both investigated the reliability of PFDI-20 questionnaire and also defined the

MIC of the questionnaire answers in their study population. The study of Barber et al. investigated the summary score of PFDI-20 after surgery due to PDF complaints. They found that a reduction of 15% or more in the summary score would be considered as “clinically important” [22]. Wiegersma et al. investigated the same changes in a study population of 214 women who chose conservative prolapse treatment and filled out the PFDI-20 questionnaire. According to their results, the summary score of the PFDI-20 should decrease by 23% or more to be clinically relevant [31]. In our study the mean total PFD score decreased by 23% after the first, by 38% after the second, and by 41% after the third laser treatment compared with the baseline scores. Taking into consideration the more conservative MIC requirement of $\geq 23\%$ score decrease, after the second treatment the observed PFD score decrease surpassed the minimum MIC and the total score decreased even more after the third laser treatment. Overall these results confirm that CO₂ laser treatment is useful to diminish bothersome symptoms caused by Pelvic Floor Dysfunction.

There is very limited information in literature regarding prospective cohort studies evaluating PFD symptoms with PFDI-20 questionnaire after vaginal microablative CO₂ laser treatment. We believe this study brings added value to the existing literature.

The primary limitations of this study are the lack of control group, the relatively small sample size and short follow-up period. Also, our study design is unable to distinguish whether the observed improvement in PFD bother is a direct result or simply secondary to the improvement of GSM. To properly assess treatment efficacy of vaginal laser treatment on PFD-related symptoms a randomized, sham-controlled (laser vs. sham laser) trial would be necessary.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our findings suggest that at least two sessions of vaginal microablative CO₂ laser treatment significantly improved postmenopausal pelvic floor dysfunction-related urinary and prolapse symptoms.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge that the research was supported by Economic Development and Innovation Operational Program Grant of the European Union and Hungary (GINOP-2.1.1-15-2016-00783).

REFERENCES

- Portman DJ, Gass MLS, Vulvovaginal Atrophy Terminology Consensus Conference Panel. Genitourinary syndrome of menopause: New terminology for vulvovaginal atrophy from the International Society for the Study of Women's Sexual Health and the North American Menopause Society. *Menopause* 2014;21(10):1063–1068.
- Gandhi J, Chen A, Dagur G, Suh Y, Smith N, Cali B, Khan SA. Genitourinary syndrome of menopause: An overview of clinical manifestations, pathophysiology, etiology, evaluation, and management. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2016;215(6):704–711.
- Parish SJ, Nappi RE, Krychman ML, Kellogg-Spadt S, Simon JA, Goldstein JA, Kingsberg SA. Impact of vulvovaginal health on postmenopausal women: A review of surveys on symptoms of vulvovaginal atrophy. *Int J Womens Health* 2013;5:437–447.
- DiBonaventura M, Luo X, Moffatt M, Bushmakina AG, Kumar M, Bobula J. The association between vulvovaginal atrophy symptoms and Quality of Life Among Postmenopausal Women in the United States and Western Europe. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)* 2015;24(9):713–722.
- Management of symptomatic vulvovaginal atrophy: 2013 position statement of The North American Menopause Society. *Menopause* 2013;20(9):888–902.
- Brockie J. Managing menopausal symptoms: Hot flushes and night sweats. *Nurs Stand* 2013;28(12):48–53.
- Salvatore S, Nappi RE, Parma M, et al. Sexual function after fractional microablative CO₂ laser in women with vulvovaginal atrophy. *Climacteric* 2015;18(2):219–225.
- Salvatore S, Nappi RE, Zerbini N, et al. A 12-week treatment with fractional CO₂ laser for vulvovaginal atrophy: A pilot study. *Climacteric* 2014;17(4):363–369.
- Perino A, Calligaro A, Forlani F, et al. Vulvo-vaginal atrophy: A new treatment modality using thermo-ablative fractional CO₂ laser. *Maturitas* 2015;80(3):296–301.
- Perino A, Cucinella G, Gugliotta G, et al. Is vaginal fractional CO₂ laser treatment effective in improving overactive bladder symptoms in post-menopausal patients? *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2016;20(12):2491–2497.
- Athanasiou S, Pitsouni E, Antonopoulou S, Zacharakis D, Salvatore S, Falagas ME, Grigoriadis T. The effect of microablative fractional CO₂ laser on vaginal flora of postmenopausal women. *Climacteric* 2016;19(5):512–518. <https://doi.org/>
- Baggish MS. Fractional CO₂ laser treatment for vaginal atrophy and vulvar lichen sclerosus. *J Gynecol Surg* 2016;32(6):309–317.
- Gonzalez Isaza P, Jaguszewska K, Cardona JL, Lukaszuk M. Long-term effect of thermoablative fractional CO₂ laser treatment as a novel approach to urinary incontinence management in women with genitourinary syndrome of menopause. *Int Urogynecol J* 2018;29(2):211–215.
- Zerbini N, Serati M, Ortoni M, et al. Microscopic and ultrastructural modifications of postmenopausal atrophic vaginal mucosa after fractional carbon dioxide laser treatment. *Lasers Med Sci* 2015;30(1):429–436.
- Cruz VL, Steiner ML, Pompei LM, et al. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial for evaluating the efficacy of fractional CO₂ laser compared with topical estriol in the treatment of vaginal atrophy in postmenopausal women. *Menopause* 2018;25(1):21–28.
- Becorpi A, Campisciano G, Zanotta N, et al. Fractional CO₂ laser for genitourinary syndrome of menopause in breast cancer survivors: Clinical, immunological, and microbiological aspects. *Lasers Med Sci* 2018;33(5):1047–1054.
- Pagano T, De Rosa P, Vallone R, et al. Fractional microablative CO₂ laser for vulvovaginal atrophy in women treated with chemotherapy and/or hormonal therapy for breast cancer: A retrospective study. *Menopause* 2016;23(10):1108–1113.
- Alperin M, Burnett L, Lukacz E, Brubaker L. The mysteries of menopause and urogynecologic health: Clinical and scientific gaps. *Menopause* 2019;26(1):103–111.
- Lawrence JM, Lukacz ES, Nager CW, Hsu JW, Luber KM. Prevalence and co-occurrence of pelvic floor disorders in community-dwelling women. *Obstet Gynecol* 2008;111(3):678–685.
- Wu JM, Vaughan CP, Goode PS, Redden DT, Burgio KL, Richter HE, Markland AD. Prevalence and trends of symptomatic pelvic floor disorders in U.S. women. *Obstet Gynecol* 2014;123(1):141–148.
- Persu C, Chapple CR, Cauni V, Gutue S, Geavlete P. Pelvic Organ Prolapse Quantification System (POP-Q)—A new era in pelvic prolapse staging. *J Med Life* 2011;4(1):75–81.
- Barber MD, Walters MD, Bump RC. Short forms of two condition-specific quality-of-life questionnaires for women with pelvic floor disorders (PFDI-20 and PFIQ-7). *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2005;193(1):103–113.
- Barber MD, Chen Z, Lukacz E, et al. Further validation of the short form versions of the Pelvic Floor Distress Inventory (PFDI) and Pelvic Floor Impact Questionnaire (PFIQ). *NeuroUrol Urodyn* 2011;30(4):541–546.
- Utomo E, Blok BF, Steensma AB, Korfage IJ. Validation of the Pelvic Floor Distress Inventory (PFDI-20) and Pelvic Floor Impact Questionnaire (PFIQ-7) in a Dutch population. *Int Urogynecol J* 2014;25(4):531–544.
- Pitsouni E, Grigoriadis T, Falagas M, Tsiveleka A, Salvatore S, Athanasiou S. Microablative fractional CO₂ laser for the genitourinary syndrome of menopause: Power of 30 or 40 W? *Lasers Med Sci* 2017;32(8):1865–1872.
- Sokol ER, Karram MM. An assessment of the safety and efficacy of a fractional CO₂ laser system for the treatment of vulvovaginal atrophy. *Menopause* 2016;23(10):1102–1107.
- Pitsouni E, Grigoriadis T, Falagas ME, Salvatore S, Athanasiou S. Laser therapy for the genitourinary syndrome of menopause. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Maturitas* 2017;103:78–88.
- Behnia-Willison F, Sarraf S, Miller J, et al. Safety and long-term efficacy of fractional CO₂ laser treatment in women suffering from genitourinary syndrome of menopause. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2017;213:39–44.
- Gambacciani M, Levancini M. Short-term effect of vaginal erbium laser on the genitourinary syndrome of menopause. *Minerva Ginecol* 2015;67(2):97–102.
- Jaeschke R, Singer J, Guyatt GH. Measurement of health status. Ascertain the minimal clinically important difference. *Control Clin Trials* 1989;10(4):407–415.
- Wieggersma M, Panman CM, Berger MY, De Vet HC, Kollen BJ, Dekker JH. Minimal important change in the pelvic floor distress inventory-20 among women opting for conservative prolapse treatment. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2017;216(4):397.